



Antimicrobial Stewardship: Pediatric Applications

Jeffrey S. Gerber MD, PhD Division of Infectious Diseases The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

> **EH** The Children's Hospital *of* Philadelphia[®] **RESEARCH INSTITUTE**



- The case for Antimicrobial Stewardship
- The CHOP ASP
- Examples of Stewardship
 - Surgery
 - Primary care

Agenda

- The case for Antimicrobial Stewardship
- The CHOP ASP
- Examples of Stewardship
 - Surgery
 - Primary care

Antibiotic Use: Outpatient Children



Chai G et al. Pediatrics 2012;130:23-31

Antibiotic Use: Outpatient Children

Antibiotic Prescription Rates for Acute Respiratory Tract Infections in US Ambulatory Settings

Resu

17%

1995-

Carlos G. Grijalva, MD, MPH

J. Pekka Nuorti, MD, DSc Marie R. Griffin, MD, MPH

NFECTIONS CAUSED BY ANTIBIOTICresistant microorganisms are associated with increased morbidity, mortality, and substantial economic burden.1 Antibiotic use creates selective pressure for the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria.2-4 During the past decade, a variety of US initiatives have promoted the judicious use of antibiotics,5,6 particularly for acute respiratory tract infection (ARTI), which is a common cause of health care encounters and antibiotic prescriptions, especially in young children. In the late 1990s, antibiotic prescription rates in both children and adults decreased,7-10 but these decreases were initially accompanied by increased prescription of broad-spectrum antibiotics.9-11

Interventions not directly targeting antibacterial use may also have reduced antibiotic prescriptions and limited the spread of antibiotic resistance. For example, routine US infant immunization with a 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV-7) resulted in decreases in rates of inva**Context** During the 1990s, antibiotic prescriptions for acute respiratory tract infection (ARTI) decreased in the United States. The sustainability of those changes is unknown.

Objective To assess trends in antibiotic prescriptions for ARTI.

Design, Setting, and Participants The National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey and National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey data (1995-2006) were

Annual Antibiotic Prescribing in Ambulatory Pediatrics in the Main United States

CI, 22 AUTHORS: Adam L. Hersh, MD, PhD,* Daniel J. Shapiro, tively) BA,^b Andrew T. Pavia, MD,* and Samir S. Shah, MD, ARTIpersol MSCE^{c,d}

otic p Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Department of 1000 Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah; Division of are rai General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, University of 37%) California, San Francisco, California; "Departments of Pediatrics all, AF and Biostatistics and Epidemiology and Center for Clinical tetrac Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania most adults School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and #Division of Infectious Diseases and Center for Pediatric Clinical Conc

fewer Effectiveness, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, for W Pennsylvania spectr

JAMA. KEY WORDS

antibacterial agents, respiratory tract infections, pediatrics, physician's practice patterns, inappropriate prescribing WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Results of previous studies have indicated that most antibiotic prescriptions for children are for respiratory conditions, and many of them are inappropriate. Although antibiotic prescribing is declining overall, broadspectrum antibiotic prescribing for respiratory conditions is increasing. Unnecessary antibiotic prescribing promotes resistance and adverse events.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Respiratory conditions account for >70% of antibiotic prescriptions in ambulatory pediatrics. Broad-spectrum antibiotics, especially macrolides, represent 50% of pediatric antibiotic use. Broad-spectrum antibiotics are frequently prescribed unnecessarily for conditions for which antibiotics are unlikely to provide benefit.

Outpatient Antibiotic Use: US and Europe, 2004



Goossens H et al. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;44:1091-1095

Outpatient Antibiotic Dispensing: US, 2010



Hicks L et. Al. NEJM April 11, 2013

So What?

- We use a LOT of antibiotics
- We use them variably
- But ... so what?

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE THREATS in the United States, 2013



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Impact of Antibiotic Resistance

Each Year in the US:

- > 2 million serious infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria
- > 23,000 die as a <u>direct result</u> of antibiotic-resistant infections
- 250,000 hospitalizations for *C. difficile* infections; >14,000 deaths
- \$20 billion direct healthcare costs (2008)
- Many of these infections could have been prevented



Core Actions to Combat Resistance

- preventing infections and preventing the spread of resistance
- tracking resistant bacteria
- improving the use of today's antibiotics
- promoting the development of new antibiotics and developing new diagnostic tests for resistant bacteria

Bacteria will inevitably find ways of resisting the antibiotics we develop, which is why aggressive action is needed to keep new resistance from developing and prevent the resistance that already exists from spreading



Antibiotic use is the most important factor leading to antibiotic resistance



Resistance Aside

- 5%–25% diarrhea
- 1 in 1000 visit emergency department for adverse effect of antibiotic

 comparable to insulin, warfarin, and digoxin
- 1 in 4000 chance that an antibiotic will prevent serious complication from URI

Shehab N. CID 2008:47; Linder JA. CID 2008:47



HOMININS Did modern humans replace Neanderthals or co-exist with them? **p.395** HISTORY Sigmund Freud and William Halstead on cocaine p.397

BIODIVERSITY DNA bank needed to conserve all species, not just plants **p.399** **OBITUARY** Jonathan Widom, genomic map-maker, remembered **p.400**



Dosed up: could excessive prescription of antibiotics be hampering children's ability to fight disease?

Stop the killing of beneficial bacteria

Concerns about antibiotics focus on bacterial resistance — but permanent changes to our protective flora could have more serious consequences, says **Martin Blaser**.

The average child in the United States and other developed countries has received 10-20 courses of antibiotics by the time he or she is 18 years old'. In many respects, this is a life-saving development. The average US citizen born in 1940 was expected to live to the age of 63; a baby born today should reach 78, in part because of antibiotics. But the assumption that antibiotics are generally safe has fostered overuse and led to an increase in bacterial resistance to treatments.

Other, equally serious, long-term consequences of our love of antibiotics have received far less attention. Antibiotics kill the bacteria we do want, as well as those we don't. Early evidence from my lab and others hints that, sometimes, our friendly flora never fully recover. These long-term changes to the beneficial bacteria within people's bodies may even increase our susceptibility to infections and disease. Overuse of antibiotics could be fuelling the dramatic increase in conditions such as obesity, type 1 diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease, allergies and asthma, which have more than doubled in many populations (see graph).

We urgently need to investigate this possibility. And, even before we understand the full scope, there is action we should take.

25 AUGUST 2011 | VOL 476 | NATURE | 393 © 2011 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

Antibiotics and Obesity

- Mice fed sub-therapeutic doses of antibiotics exhibited:
 - taxonomic changes of microbiome
 - changes in copies of key genes involved in metabolism of carbohydrates to short-chain fatty acids
 - alterations in hepatic metabolism of lipids and cholesterol
 - increased adiposity

Cho I et al. Nature 2012

Gut Microbiota from Twins Discordant for Obesity Modulate Metabolism in Mice

Vanessa K. Ridaura, Jeremiah J. Faith, Federico E. Rey, Jiye Cheng, Alexis E. Duncan, Andrew L. Kau, Nicholas W. Griffin, Vincent Lombard, Bernard Henrissat, James R. Bain, Michael J. Muehlbauer, Olga Ilkayeva, Clay F. Semenkovich, Katsuhiko Funai, David K. Hayashi, Barbara J. Lyle, Margaret C. Martini, Luke K. Ursell, Jose C. Clemente, William Van Treuren, William A. Walters, Rob Knight, Christopher B. Newgard, Andrew C. Heath, Jeffrey I. Gordon*

The role of specific gut microbes in shaping body composition remains unclear. We transplanted fecal microbiota from adult female twin pairs discordant for obesity into germ-free mice fed low-fat mouse chow, as well as diets representing different levels of saturated fat and fruit and vegetable consumption typical of the U.S. diet. Increased total body and fat mass, as well as obesity-associated metabolic phenotypes, were transmissible with uncultured fecal communities and with their corresponding fecal bacterial culture collections. Cohousing mice harboring an obese twin's microbiota (Ob) with mice containing the lean co-twin's microbiota (Ln) prevented the development of increased body mass and obesity-associated metabolic phenotypes in Ob cage mates. Rescue correlated with invasion of specific members of Bacteroidetes from the Ln microbiota into Ob microbiota and was diet-dependent. These findings reveal transmissible, rapid, and modifiable effects of diet-by-microbiota interactions.

SCIENCE VOL 341 6 SEPTEMBER 2013



Antibiotics and IBD



Proportion of subjects developing IBD according to age and anti-anaerobic antibiotic exposure status

Kronman MP et al. Pediatrics 2012;130

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

JANUARY 31, 2013

VOL. 368 NO. 5

Duodenal Infusion of Donor Feces for Recurrent Clostridium difficile

Els van Nood, M.D., Anne Vrieze, M.D., Max Nieuwdorp, M.D., Ph.D., Susana Fuentes, Ph.D., Erwin G. Zoetendal, Ph.D., Willem M. de Vos, Ph.D., Caroline E. Visser, M.D., Ph.D., Ed J. Kuijper, M.D., Ph.D., Joep F.W.M. Bartelsman, M.D., Jan G.P. Tijssen, Ph.D., Peter Speelman, M.D., Ph.D., Marcel G.W. Dijkgraaf, Ph.D., and Josbert J. Keller, M.D., Ph.D.

CONCLUSIONS

The infusion of donor feces was significantly more effective for the treatment of recurrent *C. difficile* infection than the use of vancomycin. (Funded by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research; Netherlands Trial Register number, NTR1177.)

Summary: Background

- Antibiotic use is both frequent and variable
- There is a downside to antibiotic use
 - antibiotic resistance
 - patient-specific adverse drug effects
 - disruption of "healthy" flora



- The case for Antimicrobial Stewardship
- The CHOP ASP
- Examples of Stewardship



CHOP ASP: Driver Diagram

Timely and appropriate initiation of antibiotics

Appropriate administration & de-escalation of therapy

Data monitoring and transparency



literature review, research, national ASP meetings

antimicrobial use

Optimize

outcomes:

-adherence to guidelines -benchmark comparison -bug/drug mismatch rate -antibiogram shift -antimicrobial costs

Improving ASP infrastructure, knowledge, and engagement

CHOP ASP: 2012



CLABSI: Tx Compliance

at or above targetwithin 15% of Target>15% from Target









Cost savings: \$714,463



Benchmarking



Benchmarking



Where should we focus stewardship efforts?

Antibiotic Use at Children's Hospitals, by Service Line



Variability of Antibiotic Use Across Hospitals, Top Four APR-DRGs



Each circle represents one hospital. Size of circles corresponds to number of discharges with diagnosis receiving antibiotics. Red lines represent median values. Broad-spectrum anti-MRSA coverage: vancomycin, linezolid, tigecycline, daptomycin

Broad-spectrum anti-pseudomonal coverage: imipenem, meropenem, cefepime, piperacillin, ticarcillin, piperacillin-tazobactam, ticarcillin-clavulanate, ceftazidime



- The case for Antimicrobial Stewardship
- The CHOP ASP
- Examples of Stewardship

 Surgery: prophylaxis and treatment

Antibiotic Use at Children's Hospitals, by Service Line



Surgical Antimicrobial Prophylaxis

- surgical AMP is used to reduce the microbial burden of skin colonization that may contribute to intraoperative contamination = SSI
- most common Healthcare Associated Infection (HAI)
- SSIs cause harm, prolong hospitalizations, can cause readmissions, and can increases mortality rate
- Prophylaxis; not treatment

When appropriately used, AMP reduces SSI rate by 50-70%



Surgical Wound Classes

I. Clean: An uninfected operative wound in which no inflammation is encountered and the respiratory, alimentary, genital, or uninfected urinary tracts are not entered

II. Clean-Contaminated: Operative wounds in which the respiratory, alimentary, genital, or urinary tracts are entered under controlled conditions and without unusual contamination

III. Contaminated: Open, fresh, accidental wounds; operations with major breaks in sterile technique or gross spillage from GI tract, and incisions in which acute, nonpurulent inflammation is encountered

IV. Dirty or Infected: Includes old traumatic wounds with retained devitalized tissue and those that involve existing clinical infection or perforated viscera

Antimicrobial Prophylaxis: Timing

Goal is to have peak antibiotic serum/tissue levels at the time of incision.

Therefore, complete antibiotic infusion

- 0 60 minutes prior to incision
 - ✓ Start 0-60 minutes prior to incision for agents with brief infusion times
 - ✓ Start 60-120 minutes prior to incision for vancomycin and fluoroquinolones


cal-wound infections in 2847 patients undergoing elective clean or "clean–contaminated" surgical procedures at a large community hospital. The administration of antibiotics 2 to 24 hours before the surgical incision was defined as early; that during the 2 hours before the incision, as preoperative; that during the 3 hours after the incision, as perioperative; and that more than 3 but less than 24 hours after the incision, as postoperative.

Results. Of the 1708 patients who received the prophylactic antibiotics preoperatively, 10 (0.6 percent) subsequently had surgical-wound infections. Of the 282 pa-

THE widespread use of antimicrobial agents for prophylaxis has altered surgical practice markedly in the past 20 years and now represents one of the most frequent uses of antibiotics in hospitals, accounting for as many as half of all antibiotics prescribed.¹⁻⁵ Surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis has been shown 14 (3.8 percent) had wound infections (P<0.0001; relative risk, 6.7; 95 percent confidence interval, 2.9 to 14.7). Stepwise logistic-regression analysis confirmed that the administration of antibiotics in the preoperative period was associated with the lowest risk of surgical-wound infection.

Conclusions. In surgical practice there is considerable variation in the timing of the prophylactic administration of antibiotics, and administration in the two hours before surgery reduces the risk of wound infection. (N Engl J Med 1992;326:281-6.)

variations in the timing of prophylaxis affect the occurrence of surgical-wound infections in actual clinical practice.

It is increasingly recognized that to assess the quality of care, investigators must examine the linkage between the processes of care and patients' outcomes.



Antimicrobial Choice

Staphylococcus aureus is most common cause of SSI

Cefazolin has activity against most strains of *S. aureus*; therefore, Cefazolin is the empiric choice for most procedures

However, Cefazolin may not always be the appropriate choice...

- 1. procedures involving organs with alternate or additional colonizing bacteria (e.g. GI tract)
- 2. patients with cephalosporin allergy
- 3. patients known to be colonized with resistant bacteria

1) procedures involving organs with alternate or additional colonizing bacteria

	Antibiotic Prophylaxis by Surgical Procedure Table 1			
Surgery	Antibiotic	Alternative for Penicillin and/or Cephalosporin allergy	MRSA History of colonization or infection	
Cardiothoracic				
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
High-risk implants (pacemaker, ICD, L- VAD)	vancomycin + cefazolin	vancomycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Lung transplant	targeted therapy ²	targeted therapy ²	vancomycin1+ targeted therapy2	
Gastrointestinal		•		
Appendectomy ³	ceftriaxone + metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole	
Esophageal, gastroduodenal, jejunal	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Colorectal ³	ceftriaxone and metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole	
Liver transplant	piperacillin/tazobac tam	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam	
NEC	piperacillin/tazobac tam	none	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam	
Biliary tract				
Open and laparoscopic procedures	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Head and Neck				
Clean	none	none	none	
With implant	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Clean-contaminated	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Obstetric or Gynecolo	ogic			
Cesarean section	cefazolin or cefoxitin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Orthopedic				
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin1+ cefazolin	
High-risk implants (spinal rods, VEPTR)	vancomycin + cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) 4	vancomycin + gentamicin	Vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴	
Neurosurgery				
	cefazolin	vancomycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Urologic				
General	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin1 + cefazolin	
Cystourethroscopy	targeted therapy⁵	targeted therapy⁵	vancomycin ¹ + targeted therapy ⁵	

 procedures involving organs with alternate or additional colonizing bacteria

	Antibiotic Pro	phylaxis l Surgic	al Procedure
		— Tab <mark>l 1</mark> —	
Surgery	Antibiotic	Alternative for Penicillin and/or Cephalosporin allergy	MRSA History of colonization or infection
Cardiothoracic			
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
High-risk implants (pacemaker, ICD, L- VAD)	vancomycin + cefazolin	vancomycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Lung transplant	targeted therapy ²	targeted therapy ²	vancomycin1+ targeted therapy2
Gastrointestinal			
Appendectomy ³	ceftriaxone + metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole
Esophageal, gastroduodenal, jejunal	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Colorectal ³	ceftriaxone and metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole
Liver transplant	piperacillin/tazobac tam	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam
NEC	piperacillin/tazobac tam	none	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam
Biliary tract			
Open and laparoscopic procedures	cefazolin		vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Head and Neck			
Clean	none	none	none
With implant	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Clean-contaminated	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Obstetric or Gynecolo	ogic		
Cesarean section	cefazolin or cefoxitin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Orthopedic			
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
High-risk implants (spinal rods, VEPTR)	vancomycin + cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴	vancomycin + gentamicin	Vancomycin¹+ cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴
Neurosurgery			
	cefazolin	vancomycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Urologic			
General	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Cystourethroscopy	targeted therapy⁵	targeted therapy⁵	vancomycin ¹ + targeted therapy⁵

- 1) procedures involving organs with alternate or additional colonizing bacteria
- 2) patients with cephalosporin allergy
- 3) colonization with resistant bacteria
 - \diamond specific procedures
 - ♦ MRSA colonization

Antibiotic Prophylaxis by Surgical Procedure Table 1				
Surgery	Antibiotic	Alternative for Penicillin and/or Cephalosporin allergy	MRSA History of colonization or infectio	
Cardiothoracic				
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
High-risk implants (pacemaker, ICD, L- VAD)	vancomycin + cefazolin	vancomycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Lung transplant	targeted therapy ²	targeted therapy ²	vancomycin1+ targeted therapy2	
Gastrointestinal				
Appendectomy ³	ceftriaxone + metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole	
Esophageal, gastroduodenal, jejunal	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Colorectal ³	ceftriaxone and metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole	
Liver transplant	piperacillin/tazobac tam	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam	
NEC	piperacillin/tazobac tam	none	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam	
Biliary tract				
Open and laparoscopic procedures	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Head and Neck				
Clean	none	none	none	
With implant	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Clean-contaminated	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Obstetric or Gynecol	ogic			
Cesarean section	cefazolin or cefoxitin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Orthopedic				
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin1+ cefazolin	
High-risk implants (spinal rods, VEPTR)	vancomycin + cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴	vancomycin + gentamicin	Vancomycin1+ cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴	
Neurosurgery				
	cefazolin	vancomycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Urologic				
General	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin	
Cystourethroscopy	targeted therapy⁵	targeted therapy⁵	vancomycin ¹ + targeted therapy⁵	

- procedures involving organs with alternate or additional colonizing bacteria
- 2) patients with cephalosporin allergy
- 3) colonization with resistant bacteria
 - \diamond specific procedures
 - ♦ MRSA colonization

	Antibiotic Pro	I Procedure	
Surgery	Antibiotic	Alternative for Penicillin and/or Cephalosporin allergy	MRSA History of colonization or infection
Cardiothoracic			
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
High-risk implants (pacemaker, ICD, L- VAD)	vancomycin + cefazolin	vancomycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Lung transplant	targeted therapy ²	targeted therapy ²	vancomycin1+ targeted therapy2
Gastrointestinal			
Appendectomy ³	ceftriaxone + metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole
Esophageal, gastroduodenal, jejunal	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Colorectal ³	ceftriaxone and metronidazole	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + ceftriaxone + metronidazole
Liver transplant	piperacillin/tazobac tam	ciprofloxacin + metronidazole	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam
NEC	piperacillin/tazobac tam	none	vancomycin ¹ + piperacillin/tazobactam
Biliary tract			
Open and laparoscopic procedures	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Head and Neck			
Clean	none	none	none
With implant	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Clean-contaminated	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Obstetric or Gynecolo	ogic		
Cesarean section	cefazolin or cefoxitin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Orthopedic			
General	cefazolin	clindamycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
High-risk implants (spinal rods, VEPTR)	vancomycin + cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴	vancomycin + gentamicin	Vancomycin¹+ cefazolin (+/- gentamicin) ⁴
Neurosurgery			
	cefazolin	vancomycin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Urologic			
General	cefazolin	clindamycin + gentamicin	vancomycin ¹ + cefazolin
Cystourethroscopy	targeted therapy⁵	targeted therapy⁵	vancomycin ¹ + targeted therapy ⁵

ASHP REPORT

Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery

DALE W. BRATZLER, E. PATCHEN DELLINGER, KEITH M. OLSEN, TRISH M. PERL, PAUL G. AUWAERTER, MAUREEN K. BOLON, DOUGLAS N. FISH, LENA M. NAPOLITANO, ROBERT G. SAWYER, DOUGLAS SLAIN, JAMES P. STEINBERG, AND ROBERT A. WEINSTEIN

Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2013; 70:195-283

How do we do at CHOP?

Orhtopedic Surgery n=175 for antibiotics



Cardiac Surgery n = 48 for antibiotic



Intra-abdominal Infections

Diagnosis and Management of Complicated Intra-abdominal Infection in Adults and Children: Guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America

Joseph S. Solomkin,¹ John E. Mazuski,² John S. Bradley,³ Keith A. Rodvold,^{7,8} Ellie J. C. Goldstein,⁵ Ellen J. Baron,⁶ Patrick J. O'Neill,⁹ Anthony W. Chow,¹⁶ E. Patchen Dellinger,¹⁰ Soumitra R. Eachempati,¹¹ Sherwood Gorbach,¹² Mary Hilfiker,⁴ Addison K. May,¹³ Avery B. Nathens,¹⁷ Robert G. Sawyer,¹⁴ and John G. Bartlett¹⁵

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010; 50:133–64

Intra-abdominal Infections



Intra-abdominal Infections: duration

 antimicrobial tx of established infection should be limited to 4–7 days, unless difficult to achieve adequate source control

Intra-abdominal Infections: prophylaxis

 acute appendicitis <u>without evidence of</u> <u>perforation, abscess, or local peritonitis</u> requires only prophylactic administration of narrow spectrum regimens; treatment should be discontinued within 24h



- The case for Antimicrobial Stewardship
- The CHOP ASP
- Examples of Stewardship
 - Surgery
 - Primary care

Study Setting: CHOP Care Network



•5 urban, academic

•24 "private" practices urban, suburban, rural >200 clinicians >200,000 patients

common EHR

Case Definitions

ICD9 codes for common infections

- AOM, sinusitis, strep throat, pneumonia
- (+/- GAS testing, antibiotic use)

• Excluding:

- concurrent bacterial infection
 - AOM, SSTI, UTI, lyme, acne, chronic sinusitis, mycoplasma, scarlet fever, animal bite, proph, oral infections, pertussis, STD, bone/joint
- complex chronic conditions (Feudtner, *Pediatrics* 2000)
- antibiotic allergy
- visit within prior 3 months with antibiotic



Antibiotic Prescribing for Sick Visits



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Broad-Spectrum Antibiotics

- amoxicillin-clavulanate
- cephalosporins
- azithromycin*

*not considered broad-spectrum therapy for pneumonia

Broad Antibiotic Prescribing



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC, antibiotic allergy, prior antibiotics Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Diagnosis rate of AOM



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC, prior antibiotics Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Diagnosis Rate of Sinusitis



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC, prior antibiotics Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Summary of Across-Practice Analyses

- antibiotic prescribing at sick visits varies significantly across practice sites
- broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing at sick visits varies significantly across practice sites
- the rate of diagnosis of ARTIs varies significantly across practice sites
- adherence to prescribing guidelines for AOM, sinusitis, GAS pharyngitis, and pneumonia varies significantly across practice sites

Antimicrobial Stewardship

Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America Guidelines for Developing an Institutional Program to Enhance Antimicrobial Stewardship

Timothy H. Dellit,¹ Robert C. Owens,² John E. McGowan, Jr.,³ Dale N. Gerding,⁴ Robert A. Weinstein,⁵ John P. Burke,⁶ W. Charles Huskins,⁷ David L. Paterson,⁸ Neil O. Fishman,⁹ Christopher F. Carpenter,¹⁰ P. J. Brennan,⁹ Marianne Billeter,¹¹ and Thomas M. Hooton¹²

- Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs recommended for hospitals
- most antibiotic use (and misuse) occurs in the outpatient setting
- is outpatient "stewardship" achievable?



- To determine the impact of an outpatient antimicrobial stewardship bundle within a pediatric primary care network on antibiotic prescribing for common ARTI:
 - 1. Antibiotic prescribing for viral infections
 - 1. Broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing for conditions for which narrow-spectrum antibiotics are indicated

Antimicrobial Stewardship

- Core strategies
 - Prior authorization
 - Prospective audit & feedback
 - Formulary restriction
- Supplemental Strategies
 - Education
 - Clinical guidelines
 - IV to PO conversion
 - Dose optimization
 - Antimicrobial order forms

Antimicrobial Stewardship

- Core strategies
 - Prior authorization
 - Prospective audit & feedback
 - Formulary restriction
- Supplemental Strategies
 - Education
 - Clinical guidelines
 - IV to PO conversion
 - Dose optimization
 - Antimicrobial order forms

Study Design

- cluster-randomized controlled trial
- bundled intervention vs. no intervention
- unit of observation will be the practitioner but randomized at practice level
 - natural distribution of physicians
 - avoids intra-practice contamination

Intervention

- 1. guideline development
- 2. education
- 3. audit and feedback

Hypotheses

- 1. clinicians have incomplete knowledge of the data regarding the effectiveness of antibiotics for ARTIs
 - GAS and broad spectrum antibiotics
 - antibiotic activity against pneumococcus
 - prevention of bacterial superinfection
 - role of *moraxella* and *Hflu* in disease
- 2. clinicians might be influenced by presentation of their prescribing habits relative to peer benchmarking data

Study Setting: CHOP Care Network



•5 urban, academic

•24 non-academic practices

common EHR

Outcomes

VIRAL common cold URI acute bronchitis tonsillitis pharyngitis (non-strep)

no antibiotics

BACTERIAL

acute sinusitis Strep pharyngitis pneumonia

penicillin/amoxicillin

Randomization



Intervention: Timeline



- 1,435,605 encounters

Broad Spectrum Antibiotics for Acute Sinusitis

(amoxicillin-clavulanate, 2nd/3rd cephalosporins, or azithromycin)



Antibiotics for Viral Infection



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC, antibiotic allergy, prior antibiotics Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Broad-Spectrum per Sick Visit



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC, antibiotic allergy, prior antibiotics Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Broad-Spectrum: by condition

SINUSITIS →

Standardized Rates of Prescribing



PNEUMONIA



GAS PHARYNGITIS

Broad spectrum antibiotics for treatment of Group A Streptococcal Pharyngitis Rate of prescribing before and during intervention 0.5 Control Practices + Intervention Practices 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 p=0.82 0.0 -20 -15 5 10 -10 -5

Month before(-) and after intervention

Summary/Future Directions

- clinician education coupled with audit & feedback significantly improved guideline adherence for the treatment of common ARTI
- this was most pronounced for pneumonia
- need to measure outcomes

Why did it work? Can we do better?

Qualitative Analyses

- semi-structured interviews of 21 clinicians in the intervention group
- 6/21 ignored or did not remember reports
- 9/15 reported considerable skepticism and distrust of auditing data
- most did not believe that their prescribing behavior contributed to antibiotic overuse

"I did not read my audit reports because honestly, I didn't really care. To me, it was just another piece of paper. It didn't impress me at all. They [the study team] gave you a number describing your behavior but they had no deeper understanding of what was really going on."

Qualitative Analyses

- reported frequently confronting parental pressure, sometimes acquiescing to:
 - appear competent
 - avoid losing patients to other practices that would "give them what they want"
 - provide comfort to anxious parents
 - help with upcoming travel, family celebrations, parent work schedules

"We have lots of parents who come in and they know what they want. They don't care what we have to say. They want the antibiotic that they want because they know what is wrong with their child."

Broad-Spectrum Prescribing



Excluding: preventive visits, CCC, antibiotic allergy, prior antibiotics Standardized by: age, sex, race, Medicaid

Study Team

The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia® RESEARCH INSTITUTE





- Primary Care Pediatrics
 Bob Grundmeier, Alex Fiks, Mort Wasserman
- General Pediatrics
 - Lou Bell, Ron Keren
- Pediatric Infectious Diseases
 Theo Zaoutis, Priya Prasad
- Biostatistics/data management Russell Localio, Lihai Song
- PeRC Administrator
 Jim Massey
- Sociology
 - Julie Szymczak

*supported by US Agency for Health Care Research and Quality

